

8-12-21

Ms. Gonzalez,

RECEIVED
R 11/6/21

P.C. ✓

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1746
I Daniel Ray Brimage declare
under penalty of perjury that
following is true and correct.

I Daniel Brimage give Victoria
Gonzalez permission to share all
correspondents I've had with her
about Sentencing or any other
subject.

Thank you very much

Daniel Ray Brimage
8-12-21

Pardons Board Discrepancies

RECEIVED
8/16/21

By Daniel Ray Brimage

In this narrative, I am attempting to paint a picture of how the Pardon's Board needs to set a cap on all Life Withouter's sentences because of all the discrepancies of whose sentences they commuted and whose the Board of Pardons didn't throughout the last fifty years. This is a picture of how Nevada went from the good ol' boy days where who you knew or how much money you could throw around defined how much time you received or did.

For a beginner, I will start with Sheriff Lamb's brother, "circa 1974", who shot another person six times with a 30-06 deer rifle down on Main Street in Las Vegas and didn't do a day in jail. After a year, his case was dismissed and because someone complained, the charges were re-filed and one year later the case was dismissed again.

Also around "circa 1974", Roy Smith caught his ex-wife and her new husband, who were just married, coming out of a wedding chapel down on Virginia Street in Reno and shot and killed both of them. Roy's Life Without's were both commuted and Roy got out of prison in 1994.

Also in Las Vegas, "circa 1975", Scott Fletcher received Life Without for a murder he committed, and while in jail awaiting trial, he committed another murder of a young kid, and he received a Life Without for that murder. All of his sentences were commuted in 2007 and he was released.

"Circa 1975", Gary Krueger received Life Without for killing his boss. The boss had taken Gary under his wing to teach him the mortuary business, and Gary and the boss's wife premeditatedly plotted and murdered the boss one evening by hitting him in the back of the head with a hatchet while he was watching television. Gary's sentences were commuted from Life Without in 1995 and he was released.

"Circa 1978" David Loni was convicted of killing a narcotic detective and even escaped from prison while serving his Life Without, but he was commuted and released in 2018.

All the above mentioned cases were before a 1982 ruling that was voted in by the population of Nevada, sixty percent to forty percent, that said no more releases of Life Withouters after 1982, but still leaving the Life Without prior to 1982 eligible because of the retro-activeness of the law. There were more Life Withouts commuted after 1982 and before another ruling in 1995 by some legislation that said no more commutations of Life Withouts after 1995. So now there's Life Withouters before the 1982 and 1995 rulings that are supposed to be eligible for Commutations that can't get to the Pardons Board, when at the same time Life Withouters after the ruling of 1982 and 1995 that are not even supposed to get commutations that are still getting commuted if they know the right people or have big money to throw at a lawyer.

For just one example – Otto Kaufman "circa 1995" was sentenced to a couple of life withouts and after getting an expensive lawyer, he was commuted and released in early 2020.

Critics of the proposal to Cap all the Life Withouters sentences would say that the people whose sentences got commuted had something to do with behaviorism; that my friend couldn't always be the situation considering the before mentioned cases and also "circa 1972" Bobby McGuiness and Ricky Mellow while burglarizing a one time Mayor of Reno's house and killing the Mayor's wife and kid, who were gang members and always in trouble in prison, along with a couple more convicts that are just as infamous, got their Life Withouts commuted and were released for testifying against other inmates.

Tucked among all these commuted sentences, Daniel Brimage was taken before the Pardons Board in 1987 with a recommendation from the then Director of Prisons George Sumner, who was also the Director of the California prison system before coming to Nevada; at that Board meeting, Sumner recommended that Brimages sentences be commuted for Good Behavior, not snitching. Brimage said something about Jesus at the hearing, which could have been some form of PTSD from pressure and shock of the entire prison and Pardons Board scenario but because of that, Brimage sits in prison today writing this letter thirty three years later. Even Supreme Court Justice John Mobray said at Brimage's second appearance at the Board in 1990 that he thought Brimage had been jacked around.

In closing, I want to mention another small discrepancy that occurred in "Circa 1991". Brenda Burns, the then Warden of N.N.C.C., put out a memorandum that said there was not going to be anymore Pardons Board meetings by the Supreme Court Justices because, as she wrote, "Too much of a political position and a new panel of citizens was going to be instituted to take over the duties of the Pardons Board." Although the change never took place, the idea of a new panel must have been talked about in high places for Ms. Burns to put that out in a memorandum.

Everybody knows all Commutations of Sentences are granted out of Grace but on behalf of all the hundreds of Life Withouters that have fallen through the cracks that don't have expensive attorneys to represent us, would you please give us some consideration by capping our sentences or something. Some of us have been in prison almost fifty years.

June of 1990
Convicted killer

Three years ago Sumner recommended clemency for Brimage when he first appeared before the Pardons Board.

His successor as director, Ron Angelone, however, did not support clemency for Brimage.

Brimage said he was at considerable risk when Sumner locked him up with 400 gang members.

"I would like a piece of life put into me," he added. "I am asking for a little mercy."

Mowbray said Brimage apparently has been "jacked around" through the change in prison directors.

McKay commented on how he has improved since his last appearance before the Pardons Board.

At that hearing, Brimage told board members that he was "Hound Dog Stokes, and Jesus Christ is the cure to AIDS."

Brimage attributed the murder to a drunk that lasted for months.

"I thought I was being pulled out

clemency

of the car," he said. "I started fighting with the guy. I was drunk. I was pretty much under the weather. It was all my fault."

He expressed remorse for the killing, and said repeatedly that he could not bring Mangeris back to life.

Daniel Brimage, #12192
NNCC, P.O. Box 7000
Carson City, NV 89702

(Z)

8-16-21

RECEIVED
8/18/21

Ms. Gonzalez,

Just a small note to you because I've had a few more thoughts about the Discrepancies of the Pardons Board that should make them scrap their whole outline of the way they do things and Cap all sentences and start over.

This place is all locked up and I can't find out much information except things I hear through the Grapevine. But, the word is, is that they took five people a short time ago and commuted their sentence that had life without because of some NAACP thing of Politics. And ~~that~~ that's a good thing but if a person had a way of checking they would probably find out those five or most of them came to prison after 1996 when the Law was changed that said no more commutations of sentences for life without.

In 1982 the Law was made that no more commutations of life without but they still commuted sentences for various reasons, most reasons being money changing heads; and then in 1996 the law was made again for no more commutations of life without and that law has already been broken.

(II)

I am one of a few that is actually eligible for a commutation because of the Retro-activeness of the laws passed and can't get to the Board while their commutating sentences that are against the laws of 1982 and 1996.

The point I'm trying to make here is because of all the discrepancies that's went on as to whos sentences got commuted and whos didnt has been not only unfair and outrageous but against the law; And I believe the only remedy is to cap sentences and start over with something.

Thank you for everything Ms. Gonzalez and if you can, would you please make copies of this letter and send it to all the Justices of the Supreme Court. We havnt had a Law Librarian Clerk because of the Covid and I think some of the Clerks got fired and were all locked up in our cells most of the time.

One other thing before I close that's ironic, I'm one of a few that actually is eligible for a commutation from life without and I didnt even get a answer back from my last Application I put in. 😞
P.S I got arrested 1974) Thank You Daniel Brimage

Victoria Gonzales,

Please make this letter available
for public comment.

Where do I go from here?

FMWCC needs help - all around
the board.

241

AB ~~240~~ - state of emergency credits
are not being applied as the actual
law states.

I was enrolled in the CSW college
program - which exempted me from
work. I lost 60 days not credits.

20 days were applied pursuant to the
AB ~~240~~ 241.

public comment.

08-15-2021

For; Public Comment
Sentencing Commission Agenda 9-3-2021

NRS 209.4465 (10) states, "In addition to the credits allowed pursuant to this section, if the Governor determines, by executive order, that it is necessary, the Governor may authorize the deduction of not more than 5 days from a sentence for each month an offender serves. This subsection must be uniformly applied to all offenders under a sentence at the time the Governor makes such a determination." (emphasis added)

To be applied retroactively for "not more than 5 years" NRS 209.4465, editors notes 3(2)(b) 2017

I submit to you that due to near non-existent legislative "Covid 19" relief for incarcerated Nevadans, this executive order has never been more necessary than Now - in this devastating time of crisis.

Our health and liberty continue to be compromised here at Florence McClure Womens Correctional Center.

Please hear this request and take action

Respectfully,

Ashley Gaddis
* 94203

BECAUSE THEY ARE PROHIBITED AND
CONFLICT WITH THE APPLICATION OF THE
HABITUAL CRIMINAL STATUTE AS WELL AS
THE PERMISSIBLE USES OF ENHANCEMENT
UNDER NRS 193.165.

SECOND -- SOME CRIMES AND SUBSEQUENT
SENTENCES DO NOT WARRANT THE HARSH
SANCTIONS OF THE HABITUAL CRIMINALITY STATUTE.
DUE TO STATUTORY UPDATES AND REVISION,
IT IS NOW EXCESSIVE TO HABITUALIZE THESE
'SHRINKING' / MINOR OFFENSES. THIS PRACTICE
COULD LEAD TO 'ABUSES OF DISCRETION'
WHICH SERVE NEITHER THE STATUTE OR
BEST INTERESTS OF JUSTICE. EXAMPLES
INCLUDE :

- MINOR PROPERTY CRIMES
- MARIJUANA RELATED
- REMOTE IN TIME
- HIGH FELONY / MISDEMEANOR

FURTHERMORE, SOME POINTS SEEM
TO RUN 'CONSECUTIVE' TO NRS 193.165;
IN WHICH COMPATIBILITY DOES NOT
ARISE AND IS PROHIBITED UNDER
NEVADA LAW.

I WOULD HUMBLLY PROPOSE AN AUTOMATED DATABASE TO STANDARDIZE HABITUAL CRIME INCLUSION GOING FORWARD. THIS COULD GREATLY REDUCE INCONGRUENT SENTENCING AND CURE IMPERMISSIBILITY VIOLATIONS; WHICH I FEEL I FEEL VICTIM TO. IN MY CASE,

- A 'SEPARATE SENTENCE' WAS CREATED.
- INCLUDED PRIORS ARE STAVE OR TRIVIAL
- PRIORS ARE NOT FELONIES UNDER THE SITUS OF THE CRIME
- THERE WAS NO JURISDICTION

TIMING -- IN MY CASE, THE HABITUAL ENHANCEMENT WAS NOT FILED "NO LESS THAN 2 DAYS BEFORE TRIAL" IN COMPLIANCE WITH NRS 207.016, BUT WAS FILED, WITHOUT GOOD CAUSE, 7 DAYS LATER. (1 YEAR, 3 MONTHS FROM THE ARREST DATE).

ALSO, I BELIEVE THE HABITUAL CRIMINAL STATUTE HAS A FIXED SENTENCE AT 5 YEARS; WHEREAS, THE APPLICABLE STATUTE FIXES A MINIMUM AND MAXIMUM RANGE OF SENTENCE. THE TRIAL COURT HAS NO DISCRETION OUTSIDE OF THE

SENTENCING RANGE CITED. IN MY CASE, 8-20 YEARS WAS IMPOSED.

IN CLOSING, THIS STRUCTURE AND PROCESS SEEMS INCREASINGLY FISCALLY AND MORALLY UNSUSTAINABLE.

EQUAL TREATMENT, UNDER THE LAW, IS NOT AFFORDED ALL U.S. CITIZENS; EITHER CURRENTLY, OR ESPECIALLY RETROACTIVELY, AS BILLS, LAWS, AND JUSTICE GROW AND ARE UPDATED. IN ACCORDS WITH JOHNSON V. UNITED STATES AND SHEA V. UNITED STATES, THESE VERY TOPICS ARE SCRUTINIZED IN 'THE SECOND LOOK ACT' AS CORY BOOKER AND KAREN BASS FIGHT FOR CHANGE.

" THERE COMES A TIME WHEN THE LAW MUST BE PROTECTED AND EMIT EQUALITY. THAT TIME IS LONG OVERDUE.

THAT TIME IS NOW! "

LET'S SPEARHEAD AND FOSTER CHANGE, TOGETHER, HERE IN THE GREAT SILVER STATE!

RESPECTFULLY,



BENNETT G. GRIMES

DATE: AUGUST 23, 2021

TO: VICTORIA GONZALEZ, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR/
NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF SENTENCING POLICY

FROM: BEHRETT G. GRIMES, #1098810

RE: SUBMISSION FOR PUBLIC COMMENT AT
SENTENCING COMMISSION MEETING 9.3.21

VICTORIA,

HELLO AGAIN. I AM IN RECEIPT OF YOUR
LETTER (DATED JULY 15, 2021) AND WOULD
LIKE TO SUBMIT THE FOLLOWING AS
PUBLIC COMMENT IN RESPONSE:

Good Morning,

I APPRECIATE EACH AND EVERY ONE OF
YOU ATTENDING AND PARTICIPATING TODAY.
I'D LIKE TO DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION TO THE
TOPICS OF HABITUAL CRIMINAL STATUTE, AND
WEAPON ENHANCEMENT USAGE

FIRST OF ALL, SOME SENTENCES ARE
IMPERMISSIBLE; EITHER BECAUSE, BY
THE JUDGES IMPOSITION, IT CREATES A
'SEPARATE SENTENCE', OR

1 September 2021

Executive Director Gonzales
Department of Sentencing Policy
625 Fairview Drive, Suite 121
Carson City, NV 89701-5430

**To be submitted as public comment at the Sentencing Commission meeting on
3 September 2021**

Dear Executive Director Gonzales and esteemed panel members,

I am writing to you in my capacity as volunteer Research and Development Advisor for Return Strong: Families United for Justice for the Incarcerated (FUJI). My name is Heidi McCafferty, and I am a postgraduate student in the School of Law at Birkbeck, University of London. As many of you will know, FUJI is an organization that is dedicated to three main areas of work. Firstly, by improving the inhumane conditions within the Nevada Department of Corrections; by bringing systemic change to the criminal justice system; and by developing activists and leaders who are impacted by this system, both family members and those who have previously been incarcerated. I am proud to be part of this work and am currently supporting the Crime and Justice Institute, which presented in May's Sentencing Commission meeting, to access qualitative data collected by FUJI which evidences the experiences of those who have been incarcerated by the Nevada Department of Corrections during the pandemic. As stated on their website, NDOC's mission is to maintain 'offenders in safe and humane conditions while preparing them for successful reentry back into society'^[1], yet our offers to voluntarily support them achieve this ambitious objective, have disappointingly been denied.

FUJI provides a voice for the voiceless. We stand together in solidarity with activists and change-makers across the state, especially the ACLU Nevada and the Nevada Coalition Against the Death Penalty. We represent our members, the friends, families and loved ones of those who are incarcerated and our internal members who are held by NDOC, so together, we can urge those in senior positions in the state, those with the power to make positive change, to work *with* us to improve the system. What began as an informal collective of friends and family members desperately concerned for their loved ones during the pandemic, has grown into a professional, soon-to-be 501c3 non-profit organisation which plans to change the criminal justice system in Nevada. We are here to make a difference. We are here to engage, to inform and to educate and we want to work *with* you.

FUJI aims to bring hope, humanity and connection to those who are incarcerated, to allow their stories to be heard and to try and undo the harms of the dehumanising process experienced by those in this system. You may wonder why an individual from the UK, over 5000 miles away from Nevada is so passionate about prison reform in the state, but it is because Nevada's incarceration rates stand out internationally. It is impossible to work in the discipline of criminology to not, at some point, be asked to review the incarceration rates of the US, the highest in the world.^[2] To the majority of us who have grown up in Europe, it remains unfathomable that a developed nation like the US would deem it reasonable to lock people up for the rest of their lives, *especially* for decisions or mistakes made as juveniles. You only need to look at The Netherlands or Germany for examples of how to reduce mass incarceration,^[3] yet Nevada is home to a mass incarceration crisis.^[4] It also has the highest death rate per 100,000 prisoners to Covid-19 than any other state in the US.^[5] We are in the midst of a pandemic which, if not bad enough, is situated at the heart of an epidemic; an epidemic of systematic attacks on those in Nevada from lower socioeconomic backgrounds, those with addictions, mental health issues and particularly, communities of colour. Just 9% of Nevadans are Black, yet Black men and women make up 24% of those in jail and 31% of those in prison.^[6] Half of prisoners in the US have some mental health concerns, with 10 percent to 25 percent of U.S. prisoners suffering from serious mental illnesses, such as major affective disorders or schizophrenia.^[7] Locking these individuals away is unconstitutional.

If incarceration is truly about rehabilitation, why is Nevada locking men and women up for the rest of their lives with little to no chance of seeing freedom? We know without money and resources, these individuals remain stuck, silenced and invisible. I personally know of individuals assigned divorce attorneys to represent them in complex, criminal cases, pressured as teenagers to take advice by ill-equipped representatives that caused them to be sentenced to up to 100 years by all-white juries. The door is slammed shut, the support networks gradually fall away and with it, for so many, the darkness seeps in.

But for many, somehow, against all odds, they have remained resilient throughout their decades of incarceration, fuelled by faith and hope, showing unwavering commitment to following the right path, despite the numerous obstacles that stand in their way. They follow everything the state asks them to do. They avoid conflict, they take classes, they work on their self-development, they toe the line. Many act as mentors to younger inmates, they offer guidance, support and encouragement. They give back, demonstrating that despite years of incarceration, they can act as beacons of light giving hope to others. There are so many men and women who are victims themselves of addiction, abuse or childhood trauma, yet they continue to help others. We know these men and women, they write to us often, they share their experiences with us, and we weep while reading their stories, stories which, had they been played out on screen, would have been accompanied by screams from the audience of 'help them now!' as that tipping point edged ever-closer. These individuals continue to be denied a voice, denied an opportunity to demonstrate their successful rehabilitation, all because they lack the finances needed to fund attorney fees or cannot access the support that they require to reenter society. So many of our members have served huge portions of their

excessive sentences and now meet the criteria for a sentence commutation. However, they cannot access the resources needed to present their case in a compelling manner to the Nevada Pardons Board. Supporting these members is one of our key strategic objectives as an organisation and we would be delighted to meet with you to discuss our plans for this initiative.

In addition, we would like to publicly respond to your request in the last meeting, Executive Director Gonzales, for help developing the ‘outreach core function’ of the Commission to help gain ‘a full understanding of the criminal justice system’ (please see page 7 of the minutes from the meeting on 21 May 2021)^[8]. We are in a unique position to provide insights on behalf of our members. As mentioned in my opening, we have gathered evidence through qualitative data which I am sure will be of interest as you develop your data hub, an initiative we whole-heartedly welcome as a further step towards increasing transparency and accountability.

In conclusion, we are eager to meet with you and other members of the board and we welcome suggestions for dates to begin what we are confident will be a lasting, mutual-beneficial partnership.

Thank you for your time and consideration in this matter.

Yours sincerely,

Heidi McCafferty

Volunteer Research and Development Advisor

Return Strong: Families United for Justice for the Incarcerated

^[1] <https://doc.nv.gov/>

^[2] https://www.prisonstudies.org/highest-to-lowest/prison-population-total?field_region_taxonomy_tid=All

^[3] <https://www.vera.org/publications/sentencing-and-prison-practices-in-germany-and-the-netherlands-implications-for-the-united-states>

^[4] <https://www.aclunv.org/en/news/we-wont-address-our-mass-incarceration-crisis-until-we-rethink-our-approach-violent-crime>

^[5] <https://www.themarshallproject.org/2020/05/01/a-state-by-state-look-at-coronavirus-in-prisons>

^[6] <https://www.vera.org/downloads/pdfdownloads/state-incarceration-trends-nevada.pdf>

^[7] <https://www.apa.org/monitor/2014/10/incarceration>

^[8]

<https://sentencing.nv.gov/uploadedFiles/sentencingnv.gov/content/Meetings/2021/NSC%205.21.21%20Draft%20Minutes.pdf>

RAHIM MUHAMMAD
#80707
LCC
1200 PRISON ROAD
LOVELOCK, NV 89419

RECEIVED
8/16/21

P.C. ✓

Nevada Department of Sentencing Policy
Victoria Gonzalez, Executive Director
625 Fairview Dr
Carson City, NV 89401-5430

RE: EMAILS, DOCUMENTS, AUDIOS etc

Dear Ms. Gonzalez, 08-12-21

I am consenting to all correspondences, emails, audios etc etc to be submitted to the members of the Commission & Department of Sentencing Policy.

I truly hope more effective, humane, restorative justice, ~~and~~ community-oriented, & wrap-around programs will become available, soon.

When I review my circumstances I can't believe OMD will not consider me for a reentry program. I know I'm a perfect candidate.

Please continue to put forth data that will restructure our system to benefit the uplifting of all human being.

Take Care & Love,

Rahim Muhammad

Free Rahim Muhammad"
The RahimTeam: teamwork makes the Dream Work!
@TRahimTeam

As Salaam Alaikum Sr. Victoria 06-20-21
(Peace Be Upon You)

I am not sure what is going on your way, the last time I was abreast on developments was that Feb 19th meeting. I AM CURRENTLY HERE AT LOVELOCK PARTICIPATING IN THE AUTOMOTIVE PROGRAM WHICH LAST UNTIL AUGUST. ONCE THIS PROGRAM IS OVER I WANT TO PARTICIPATE IN THE 184 PROGRAM. THIS WILL ALLOW ME TO DO MORE WITH MY TIME, MORE POSITIVITY & CONSTRUCTIVITY. ULTIMATELY, IT WILL ALLOW ME TO SAVE MONEY FOR TWO YEARS, EVEN INVEST SO I CAN EXERCISE SOME OF MY GOALS. I TRULY WANT TO MOVE ABROAD & GET SOME THINGS DONE THAT I CAN'T DO HERE IN THE U.S.A BECAUSE I'M A FETTER. THERE ARE SO MANY BARRIERS & RESTRICTIONS & WHO WANTS TO LIVE THEIR LIFE LIKE THAT. AND HONESTLY, LIVING IN THE U.S.A HAS BEEN TRAUMATIZING & DEVASTATING FOR ME. I CAN'T WAIT TO START OVER. I CAN'T EVEN GET A REAL ESTATE LICENSE! I'M GOING TO START SOME SORT OF FOUNDATION TO HELP BLACK Males. WHAT IS HAPPENING IN HERE IS CRAZY; 1 out of every 3 Black males are in the Criminal Justice system! The lowest life expectancy etc. etc. This is horrible. This is nothing to be proud of. We have the highest incarceration rate & get the most time. The Homicide rate is the highest. Suicide rate is increasing drastically. You might be okay, your Peoples might be okay but Black males are dying here in the U.S.A. I'm trying to think outside of the box & beyond the borders of this place. I WANT TO CREATE & OPEN UP SOME OTHER ARENAS TO SUCCESS. APPARENTLY THINGS ARE NOT CHANGING OUT HERE, UNFORTUNATELY. I AM LOOKING FOR SOME HELP TO MAKE THIS

A REALITY. I AM REACHING OUT TO MANY PEOPLE AS POSSIBLE TO CREATE THIS REALITY. I NEED TO GET MANY FROM THIS PLACE ^(PRISON) WHERE I CAN'T GROW & BLOSSOM BUT AM SUSPECTIVE ^(FO) TOO MUCH ANTISOCIAL, PREDATORY, & RACIST BEHAVIOR. THIS IS A NEGATIVE ENVIRONMENT, NOT FERTILE & CONDUCIVE TO PRODUCING POSITIVE GROWTH. ACTUALLY, I CAN DO WHY MORE IF I CAN AT LEAST GET TO THIS 184 PROGRAM. I WILL HAVE ACCESS TO COMPETENT RESOURCES & CAN DO MY OWN RESEARCH & COMMUNICATIONS. IF YOU CAN HELP ^(ME) CONNECT SOME CLOTS & CROSS SOME T'S, IT WOULD BE NICE. I'VE WRITTEN THE GOVERNOR; Lt. Governor; Secretary of State; AURA FORD; JUSTICE ... always brighten my day! Hardesty; Charles Daniels; Brian Williams; Harold Blackham; James Jones; Lynn Powers; I'm working on some Whitehouse officials next. Myung Sr. Victoria, I hope you are making a positive difference in our world. Take Care & ONE LOVE!

FREE RAHIM MUHAMMAD

The RahimTeam: teamwork makes the Dream Work!
@TheRahimTeam (Twitter)

RECEIVED
6/22/21

RE: Jeffrey Reval 23061

My name is Aleah Freeman. I am appealing to everyone present today on behalf of my imprisoned brother, Jeffrey Reval NV DOC #23061. Respecting the current forum we are in and everyone's time, I am summarizing what is a complex case that deserves attention. The Innocence Project was involved in my brother's case and successfully had DNA testing that excluded him from the crimes he was accused of in 1985 at the tender age of 17 (I am including those results and pleadings) This case did not involve a murder, he never fit the description per the victim's own testimony. However, he has been imprisoned for 36 years with no end in sight. The prior Innocence Project team in 2011 ultimately advised they took it as far as they could without further funding.

In addition and probably most importantly at this juncture, his sentencing structure has been referred to as being "odd and archaic". Besides the major issues with his case, it appears that his sentencing structure was entered incorrectly in the DOC system giving him five enhancements versus the two that are in the sentencing transcripts (included in this correspondence.) Although he makes parole after parole there appears to be no end at site due to enhancements. The sentencing structure is now what we are concentrating on as his continued imprisonment appears to be due to nothing more than a clerical error.

We have all transcripts from the original trial and pleadings thereafter if needed. Our family has combined and unfortunately dissipated resources with "law clerks" and others who promised to help, to no avail.

We can answer any questions and elaborate in greater detail if needed.

We sincerely thank you all for your time and appeal to you that our concerns relating to the sentencing structure will at the least, be reviewed.

Sincerely,

The family of Jeffrey Reval

1 Therefore, Mr. Jeff Reval, if you will stand.
2 Having been convicted by a Jury of this State of 15 felony
3 Counts, you are hereby sentenced as follows.

4 On Count 1, Burglary, you are sentenced to four
5 years in the Nevada State Prison.

6 As to Count 2, Burglary, you are sentenced to two
7 years in the Nevada State Prison consecutive to Count 1.

8 On Count 3, Grand Larceny, you are sentenced to two
9 years concurrent to Count 2.

10 On Count 5, Burglary, you are sentenced to 10 years
11 consecutive to Count 2.

12 On Count 6, Grand Larceny, you are sentenced to 10
13 years concurrent to Count 5.

14 On Count 7, Burglary, you are sentenced to 10
15 years concurrent to the sentence that will be imposed in
16 Count 8.

17 In Count 8, Robbery with the Use of a Deadly Weapon,
18 you are sentenced to 15 years plus a second 15 years. This
19 will be served consecutive to Count 5.

20 In Count 9, you are sentenced to Robbery With the
21 Use of a Deadly Weapon 15 years plus 15 years concurrent with
22 Count 8.

23 Count 10, Sexual Assault, you are sentenced to a
24 term of 20 years plus a second term of 20 years consecutive
25 to Count 8.

1 On Count 11, Sexual Assault, you are sentenced to
2 life plus a second life sentence consecutive to Count 10.

3 Count 12, Kidnaping, you are sentenced to 10 years
4 concurrent with Count 8.

5 Count 13, Burglary, you are sentenced to 10 years
6 consecutive to Count 11.

7 Count 14, Grand Larceny, 10 years concurrent to
8 Count 13.

9 Count 15, Grand Larceny, you are sentenced to 10
10 years consecutive to Count 13.

11 Count 16, Grand Larceny, you are sentenced to 10
12 years concurrent to Count 15.

13 MR. BASL: Your Honor, on Count 12, that is
14 Kidnaping, and it was with the Use of a Deadly Weapon. Under
15 the statute, that would also have to have a consecutive time.

16 THE COURT: It will, but it still remains
17 concurrent.

18 MR. BASL: Ten plus two, then, Your Honor?

19 THE COURT: Yes. Mr. Frank Armijo, having been
20 convicted by a jury of this State of 14 felony Counts, you
21 are sentenced as follows:

22 Count 1, Burglary, four years in the Nevada State
23 Prison.

24 Count 4, Possession of Stolen Property, two years
25 consecutive to Count 1.